

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office Action dated June 15, 2007 has been carefully considered. Claims 1-6 are pending in the present application with claim 1 being in independent form. By the present Amendment, claims 1-6 have been amended to further clarify the features of the present application and to correct some errors identified by the Examiner.

With regard to the Examiner's comments on the references cited in the specification of the present application, Applicant notes that several of the references cited in the specification were cited in the 1449 form filed with the Information Disclosure Statement dated February 16, 2005. Nevertheless, Applicant will review the specification and ensure that all references cited therein are made of record in the present application.

Claims 1-6 have been objected to based on informalities. Particularly, the Examiner indicates that claims in proper idiomatic English are required. In addition, claims 1-6 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner goes on to identify several instances in which he believes the claims are indefinite.

As is noted above, claims 1-6 have been amended herein in order to further clarify the features in the present application and in order to correct the various errors that were noted by the Examiner. In addition, the changes to claims 1-6 made herein are intended to correct the language of the claims in order to provide them in proper idiomatic English. It is believed that amended claims 1-6 particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that Applicant regards as the invention.

In light of the amendments to claims 1-6 herein, it is respectfully requested that the objections to claims 1-6 be reconsidered and withdrawn. In addition, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-6, as amended herein, particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that Applicant regards as the invention. Thus, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 1-6 under Section 112 be reconsidered and withdrawn.

In light of the remarks and amendments made herein, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-6 of the present application are patentable over the cited art and are in condition for allowance.

Favorable reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY
THROUGH THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
EFS FILING SYSTEM
ON DECEMBER 17, 2007



Keith J. Barkaus
Registration No.: 51,431
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone: (212) 382-0700

KJB:CPL/jl